

Officials missed possible sign of quake fault in Hollywood

Officials overlooked a report's finding of uneven groundwater levels, often a telltale sign of a fault, beneath a massive Hollywood project

By Keith, Ron-Gong Lin II and Rosanna Xia, Los Angeles Times, 11-22-13

City officials missed signs in a geological report that suggest a \$200-million residential and commercial development now under construction in Hollywood might be located above an earthquake fault, according to records and interviews.

Information was contained in reports written by a geotechnical engineering consultant hired by the developer of the Blvd6200 project and filed with the city. The report, obtained by The Times under the California Public Records Act, said groundwater levels varied by as much as 30 feet below the property.

Geologists generally consider uneven groundwater levels in California a strong indicator of an earthquake fault.

The Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety did not raise concerns about an earthquake fault when it reviewed the report in 2007 without requiring any in-depth seismic study.

California state geologist John Parrish said the uneven groundwater cited in the report suggests that the development is on top of the Hollywood fault, which is capable of producing a devastating 7.0 earthquake.

One of the most common indicators of the existence of a fault is the presence of an offset groundwater table. "It can be logically construed that the break in groundwater table elevations is because of the presence of a fault."

And another geologist who read the report said the differing water levels should have prompted further ground investigation, such as digging a trench and deep holes in the ground to determine whether there is a fault.

A spokesman for the Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety said Thursday that the agency still believes a seismic study was unnecessary.

"We see that variations in groundwater levels are sometimes the result of faulting," Luke Zamperini said.

The agency determined Blvd6200 was far enough away from the Hollywood fault that a fault study was not necessary, he said.

g back in time, we don't think we made a mistake," Zamperini said. "We're not going to go back and have them remove the building that they've built so far to do a fault investigation."

es built on top of faults are particularly vulnerable to damage or collapse during the violent shaking of an earthquake. Because of this, California law generally requires seismic studies for any new building project within roughly 500 feet of a fault in a state-designated earthquake fault zone.

lywood fault has been known for decades. But California geologists have not yet formally certified the Hollywood fault as one, and the law is not in force in Hollywood until they do.

A report filed by the developer's consultant to the city cited an even earlier fault map produced in 1960. The site of the Blvd6200 project was 1,000 feet away from the Hollywood fault.

Developers, Clarett West Development and DLJ Real Estate Capital Partners, said in a statement that the project "obtained all necessary approvals and is under construction in compliance with those approvals."

The environmental impact report certified by the city says "that the project site is not located within a known earthquake fault zone, and that no active or potentially active faults lie beneath the project site. Each of the findings in the report remains accurate today."

Developers also said a geologist was on site when construction crews removed 220,000 cubic yards of earth to clear the way for construction.

The geologist confirmed the absence of any subsurface faults on the project site. This excavation was more than exploratory trenching," the statement said.

Developers declined to provide The Times with further documentation about the geologist's conclusions. The city's Department of Building and Safety received the same assurance over the phone from one of the developer's consultants in the last few days, but has not received a written report that makes that declaration, Zamperini said.

Blvd6200 is one of several developments near the Hollywood fault that have come under scrutiny in recent years because of their proximity to the fault.

Blvd6200 is a massive six-story development next to the Pantages Theatre that would remake the block between Hollywood Boulevard and Argyle Avenue.

The project was approved by the City Council in 2007 and called for 500 apartments and 74,000 square feet of retail space. The first phase of the development

veloper's consultant found groundwater 30 feet higher on the north side of the property than on the

rt was filed with the developer by engineering geologist Reinard Knur of Geotechnologies Inc. , and eventually submitted to the city. It said the uneven water levels could be related to a clay

tions in the level of groundwater may occur due to variations in rainfall, temperature, and other ent at the time of the measurements reported herein," the report said

erred questions to the developer

eloper's geology report was signed off on by the city geologist's office. But Zamperini said the ci t, Dana Prevost, was unaware of the uneven groundwater levels because the soil reports were re oved by a low-level staffer.

d Robert Sydnor, a retired senior engineering geologist who worked for 24 years with the Califor cal Survey, said the water level difference by itself is not conclusive of a fault without further und investigation. But it's an important piece of evidence

son why the groundwater can get trapped that way is because when it comes to a fault ... the wa through," Clark said

sts have used uneven groundwater levels to pinpoint the path of the Hollywood fault in other sp

huenga Boulevard north of Hollywood Boulevard, there's a difference of 30 feet in elevation bet ndwater levels on the north and south sides of a section of the Hollywood fault, according to da d in the 1990s

n act as a barrier for water because during repeated earthquakes, rocks are ground up into a fine and clay

ps water from flowing through it, said U.S. Geological Survey seismologist Lucy Jones.

arning sign" of a fault, Jones said, "without being definitive."